**Electrogravity v0.5 Grammer Review Comments from Patreon iQuest (Javier)**

Page 5 - Change 'model' to 'models':

The process is essentially to take the model as far as they can go toward the large scale,

Page 6 - Add 'in' (result in):

Pretonic and Ether models are refined to result Electrogravity Version 2.

Page 8 - Change 'question' to 'questions':

It is Ethonics that will contain the answers to the important question:

Page 9 - Change 'corrected' to 'correct':

revised three times since the initial release (V1.0) to corrected typographical errors

Page 9 - Remove 'This following' and add 'are' after list (list are):

different versions of the components. This following

The following list the present Ethereal Mechanics versions

Page 11 - Change 'problem' to 'problems':

The biggest problem are small anomalies

Page 11 - Remove 'with':

Even when shown with anomalies of the accepted theories,

Page 11 - Add 'to':

The next, most scientifically devastating, course of action is \_ shut down any further discussion

Page 13 - Blank space above this sentence and a space before comma:

we could never know what syntheises used which ,

Page 13 - Several ROAs are not listed.

Page 15 - Change 'force' to 'forced':

speed at which steam can be force into a cylinder.

Page 17 - Change 'loose' to 'lose':

with modeling tools that loose information.

Page 17 - Change 'loose' to 'lose':

a more complete vector algebra that does not loose energy

Page 20 - Change 'consider' to 'considering':

The ambiguity is demonstrated by consider an asteroid of mass M speeding through space at some velocity V.

Page 22 - Add 2.5.1

From the drone analogy in section \_\_\_\_ it was argued that fuel is burned at a constant rate

Page 32 - Rather than a period consider a colon (:) in this and other cases:

The inertial force is.

Page 32 - Meant 'revealed' instead of 'reveled'?

When the structures of force fields are reveled in the Ethonics paper,

Page 33 - Consider adding 'previously presented' since this four paragraph analogy was previously presented in 2.2.1:

Until that time, the following analogy, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, explains that rational behavior.

Page 34 - Change 'the' to 'then':

if a Preton couples to its own Pretonic field, the why does it not accelerate

Page 35 - Not clear why this ends with 'as long as length contracts' and no period:

Transvariance experiment can be fully satisfied with both length and width contraction as long as length contracts

Page 39 - Change 'it' to 'is':

The result it